## ACTUARIAL NOTE Number 2021.7 April 2022

# SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION Office of the Chief Actuary Baltimore, Maryland

### MONEY'S WORTH RATIOS UNDER THE OASDI PROGRAM FOR HYPOTHETICAL WORKERS

by Michael Clingman, ASA, Kyle Burkhalter, FSA, and Chris Chaplain, ASA

#### Introduction

The OASDI program operates on an essentially pay-as-you-go (PAYGO) financing basis. Under a PAYGO plan, benefits do not depend on the accumulation of individual contributions, as in a defined contribution plan, nor do annual contributions depend on scheduled future benefits of current workers and beneficiaries, as in an advance-funded defined benefit plan. Rather, the total benefits paid in a year determine the combined amount that workers and employers need to contribute to fund the system for that year.

This note presents analysis of theoretical money's worth ratios for hypothetical workers with various earnings patterns and levels under the Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (OASDI) program.<sup>1</sup> The money's worth ratio is the ratio of the present value of expected benefits to the present value of expected payroll taxes (contributions)<sup>2</sup> for an individual or a cohort of workers. A value of greater than one for this ratio indicates that, on a present value basis, more money is expected to be received in benefits than is expected to be paid in payroll taxes over the lifetime of that individual or cohort. For an individual or group of workers and associated dependents, money's worth ratios attempt to answer the question: How do benefits compare to payroll tax contributions? In other words, do particular individuals or groups get their "money's worth"? <sup>3</sup>

Money's worth ratios for a PAYGO-financed benefit program reflect only theoretical values for contributions on a cohort basis. Payments to beneficiaries each year, in comparison to the total cost of (or resources used by) the program for that year, determine the real value of benefits under a PAYGO social insurance program. On this basis, with current administrative expenses of less

<sup>1</sup> Money's worth ratios are theoretical measures that are not directly relevant for a pay-as-you-go financed benefit program, as discussed later in this section.

than 1 percent of total program cost, the value of OASDI benefits is extraordinarily high.

While money's worth ratios reflect the value of expected benefits, they do not reflect the additional "peace of mind" value of reducing the financial risk to individuals for extreme outcomes, such as death or disability at very young ages or survival to very old ages. In addition, OASDI money's worth ratios are not truly comparable with similar ratios from private-sector plans, because many features of OASDI benefits are not typically available in private-sector plans. Two such features are annual cost-of-living adjustments and benefits for life in the event of disability. However, money's worth ratio analysis does indicate the relative value of benefits that the OASDI program provides across generations and types of workers. While the money's worth ratios in this note do not reflect any differences in mortality by earnings level, we recognize the tendency for higher earners to have greater life expectancy, which would offset, to some degree, the progressive nature of benefits on a lifetime basis.

All estimates in this note use the methods and assumptions from the intermediate alternative of the 2021 Trustees Report. Tables 1 through 6 present money's worth ratios for hypothetical scaled workers who differ by year of birth, earnings level, and family grouping. Tables 1 and 4 show the money's worth ratios for the *Current Law Scheduled* scenario, which uses contributions and benefits scheduled under current law. Because, under these assumptions, projected scheduled income will not fully finance scheduled benefits for the OASDI program after 2033, we include the two additional scenarios described below.

- *Increased Payroll Tax* Increase payroll tax rates above those scheduled in current law for each year after 2033, such that total program income fully finances the benefits scheduled in current law for each year. Tables 2 and 5 present the money's worth ratios for this scenario.
- Payable Benefits Reduce benefits below those scheduled in current law by a specified percentage for each year after 2033, such that current-law program income is sufficient to pay the resulting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Payroll taxes include any amounts transferred from the General Fund of the Treasury to substitute for employee/employer contributions, such as the 2 percent employee payroll tax reduction in 2011 and 2012 under Public Laws 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Because the OASDI Trust Funds receive transfers from the General Fund of the Treasury equal to a portion of taxes on benefits, money's worth ratios that ignore these transfers may arguably be overstated. Due to the difficulty of determining the level of income tax on benefits, this factor is not addressed in this note.

benefits. Tables 3 and 6 present the money's worth ratios for this scenario.

This note presents hypothetical workers with four different levels of *scaled* pre-retirement earnings patterns.<sup>4</sup> A worker with a *scaled* earnings pattern has earnings that vary with age as a percentage of the national average wage index (AWI). The scaled worker enters the labor force at age 21 and retires at age 65. The scaled earnings level at each age reflects both the average earnings level of workers at that age and the percentage of individuals at that age who work. In addition to the scaled workers, this note presents a hypothetical steady maximum worker who has earnings at or above the OASDI contribution and benefit base for each year from age 22 to retirement at age 65.

The Office of the Chief Actuary (OCACT) has been producing theoretical money's worth ratios for a number of years, including for recurring Actuarial Note Number 2020.7<sup>5</sup> and for the 1994-96 Advisory Council Report on Social Security. OCACT based the analyses in the 1994-96 Advisory Council report on hypothetical workers with steady earnings patterns, that is, workers with earnings that are a constant percentage of the AWI for each year of work. OCACT first introduced nonsteady hypothetical workers, referred to as scaled workers, in Actuarial Note Number 144 in 2001.<sup>7</sup> Other authors have addressed alternative approaches to considering non-steady earnings histories, and we recognize that a broader set of earnings patterns may provide additional insights into the distributions of benefits payable and money's worth ratios under the OASDI program. However, for the sake of practicality, we limit the number of cases considered in this note.

#### **Methodology and Assumptions**

This note presents theoretical money's worth ratios for three hypothetical scenarios for the future of the OASDI program: Current Law Scheduled, Increased Payroll Tax, and Payable Benefits. The Current Law Scheduled scenario utilizes the taxes and benefits specified in current law, even though projected program income and assets under current law are inadequate to pay all benefits through the 75-year projection period.

http://www.ssa.gov/history/reports/adcouncil/report/toc.htm.

 $\underline{http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/NOTES/note2000s/note144.html}.$ 

The *Increased Payroll Tax* scenario raises payroll tax rates, beginning with the year of Trust Fund reserve depletion, to fully finance scheduled benefits in every year. The payroll tax rate increases from the current law amount of 12.4 percent beginning in 2034. The payroll tax rate increases to 15.95 percent for 2035 and continues to increase year-by-year, reaching 16.73 percent for 2095. Under this scenario, the payroll tax rate increases further after 2095 due to continuing increases in life expectancy.

Under the third scenario, *Payable Benefits*, payroll tax rates hold constant as specified in current law, while benefits decrease for each year after Trust Fund reserve depletion, so that benefits paid equal taxes received for the Trust Funds as a whole. The reductions from scheduled benefit levels are assumed to apply proportionally to all types of benefits paid during the year. The intermediate projections of the 2021 Trustees Report show that program income does not fully finance scheduled benefits in 2034 and later. Thus, for the Payable Benefits scenario, annual benefit reductions begin in 2034 and generally increase each year thereafter. Projected program income, using current-law tax rates, pays 78.0 percent of scheduled benefits in 2035 and 74.0 percent of scheduled benefits in 2095. Under this scenario, annual reductions in benefits continue to grow after 2095 due to continuing increases in life expectancy.

The four earnings patterns for the hypothetical scaled workers reflect very low, low, medium, and high career-average levels of pre-retirement earnings patterns starting at age 21. OCACT sets the career-average level of earnings for these workers at a specified percent of the AWI. For the scaled medium earner, the career-average level of earnings is approximately equal to the AWI. For the scaled very low, low, and high earners, the career-average level of earnings is approximately equal to 25, 45, and 160 percent of the AWI, respectively.

Table A compares overall earnings for these hypothetical workers to those of actual retiring workers. We use the Average Indexed Monthly Earnings<sup>8</sup> (AIME), which is based on a worker's earnings, as a measure of overall earnings. We develop the distribution of actual workers retiring from 2015 to 2020 from a one–percent sample of Social Security administrative records.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Additional details on developing scaled earnings patterns appear in recurring Actuarial Note Number 2021.3, at

http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/NOTES/ran3/an2021-3.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See https://www.ssa.gov/OACT/NOTES/ran7/an2020-7.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The final report is located at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This note appears at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See <a href="http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/COLA/Benefits.html">http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/COLA/Benefits.html</a> for more details on how to calculate the AIME.

Table A. Distribution of AIMEs of Actual Workers Retiring in Years 2015 to 2020, Relative to AIMEs for Hypothetical Workers Retiring in 2015 to 2020

_		h AIME less tha hypothetical cas		Percent with AIME closest to AIME for hypothetical case <sup>3</sup>			
Hypothetical worker <sup>1</sup> (Career-average earnings) <sup>2</sup>	All men	All women	Total, all workers	All men	All women	Total, all workers	
Very Low (\$13,473)	7.8	15.8	11.8	12.2	23.9	18.0	
Low (\$24,252)	16.3	32.0	24.0	16.0	29.2	22.5	
Medium (\$53,892)	42.3	70.6	56.2	29.9	30.7	30.3	
High (\$86,228)	71.4	91.5	81.2	27.1	13.2	20.3	
Maximum (\$132,868)	100.0	100.0	100.0	14.9	2.9	9.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See text for definitions of hypothetical workers.

Note: Worker distributions include individuals who are dually entitled, or may become dually entitled to a higher benefit in the future, based on another worker's account. A significant proportion of entitled female workers, especially those with lower earnings, will receive higher benefits as aged spouse or aged widow beneficiaries. If such dually entitled workers were excluded from this analysis, the distributions would be skewed more toward the higher-level hypothetical workers.

This note groups the hypothetical workers into four categories: single men, single women, one-earner couples where only the husband is employed, and two-earner couples. The note presents the single-earner and one-earner couple examples for the four earnings patterns listed above as well as for the hypothetical steady maximum worker. In addition, the note presents the two-earner couples at seven earnings combinations as follows:

- 1) Husband high, wife high;
- 2) Husband high, wife medium;
- 3) Husband medium, wife medium;
- 4) Husband medium, wife low;
- 5) Husband low, wife low;
- 6) Husband low, wife very low; and
- 7) Husband very low, wife very low.

Of course, there are many other types of couples and earnings patterns that could be presented, including same-sex couples and couples where the wife is the sole or higher earner. The examples presented in this note are intended to illustrate a broad, but not complete, range of possibilities.

We assume that each scaled worker is born on January 2 and starts working on his/her 21st birthday. The wife and husband of each couple have the same date of birth. Each marriage occurs on the joint 22<sup>nd</sup> birthday of the wife and husband and continues for life. Assuming that marriages are life-long means that the calculated money's worth ratios do not reflect the effects of divorce and of remarriage after death or divorce. However, because each individual may receive a total benefit equal only to the highest of any spouse, widow(er), or worker benefit that may be available, this omission has only a minor consequence. We assume that the couples have two children, one on the joint 27th birthday of the wife and husband, and the other on the joint 29<sup>th</sup> birthday of the wife and husband. We consider all types of retirement, disability, and survivor benefits, except for benefits to student children, disabled-adult children, and parents based on caring for a disabled-adult child. Omission of these benefits results in a very small understatement of the theoretical money's worth ratio.

We assume that all nondisabled, surviving workers retire at age 65. We assume no mortality for children through age 18 in this analysis. The interest rates used in these computations are the effective interest rates earned by the assets of the hypothetical combined OASI and DI Trust Funds for past years and those projected for future years. Table B shows these interest rates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Career-average earnings of hypothetical scaled workers retiring at age 62 in 2020. Earnings are wage indexed to 2019 in this calculation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rounded values do not necessarily sum to 100 percent. The percentage of workers with AIME values closest to that of the hypothetical maximum worker is expected to decline in future years. This is due to a significant increase in OASDI taxable earnings, relative to the AWI, in 1981 and a smaller increase in 1990.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The maximum steady worker is assumed to be born on January 2 and to start working on his/her 22<sup>nd</sup> birthday.

Table B. Effective Nominal and Real Interest Rates Earned by the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds (Percent)

	Effective nominal	Effective real interest		Effective nominal	Effective real interest	reenty	Effective nominal	Effective real interest
Year	interest rate	rate	Year	interest rate	rate	Year	interest rate	rate
1941	2.4	-2.4	1981	9.9	-0.3	2021	2.5	-0.6
1942	2.3	-7.9	1982	11.2	4.9	2022	2.3	-0.1
1943	2.1	-3.7	1983	10.8	7.5	2023	2.2	-0.2
1944	2.0	0.3	1984	11.6	7.9	2024	2.1	-0.3
1945	2.1	-0.2	1985	11.2	7.4	2025	2.1	-0.3
1946	2.0	-6.0	1986	11.1	9.4	2026	2.3	-0.1
1947	1.9	-11.0	1987	10.1	6.2	2027	2.5	0.1
1948	2.8	-4.4	1988	9.8	5.6	2028	2.7	0.3
1949	1.3	2.2	1989	9.6	4.5	2029	2.9	0.5
1950	2.0	1.0	1990	9.3	3.9	2030	3.1	0.7
1951	2.9	-4.8	1991	9.1	4.9	2031	3.3	0.9
1952	2.2	-0.1	1992	8.7	5.7	2032	3.6	1.1
1953	2.3	1.6	1993	8.3	5.3	2033	3.7	1.3
1954	2.3	1.9	1994	8.0	5.4	2034	4.5	2.0
1955	2.2	2.5	1995	7.8	4.9	2035	4.6	2.2
1956	2.4	0.9	1996	7.6	4.6	2036	4.7	2.2
1957	2.5	-0.9	1997	7.5	5.2	2037	4.7	2.3
1958	2.5	-0.2	1998	7.2	5.8	2038	4.7	2.3
1959	2.6	1.7	1999	6.9	4.6	2039	4.7	2.3
1960	2.6	1.0	2000	6.9	3.3	2040	4.7	2.3
1961	2.8	1.6	2001	6.6	3.8	2041 and later	4.8	2.3
1962	2.8	1.7	2002	6.4	5.0			
1963	2.9	1.6	2003	6.0	3.7			
1964	3.1	1.8	2004	5.7	3.0			
1965	3.2	1.6	2005	5.5	1.9			
1966	3.5	0.5	2006	5.3	2.0			
1967	3.8	1.0	2007	5.3	2.3			
1968	4.0	-0.2	2008	5.1	1.0			
1969	4.4	-1.0	2009	4.9	5.6			
1970	5.1	-0.7	2010	4.6	2.5			
1971	5.3	0.9	2011	4.4	0.8			
1972	5.4	2.0	2012	4.1	1.9			
1973	5.8	-0.4	2013	3.8	2.4			
1974	6.2	-4.3	2014	3.6	2.0			
1975	6.6	-2.3	2015	3.4	3.8			
1976	6.7	1.0	2016	3.2	2.2			
1977	7.0	0.4	2017	3.0	0.8			
1978	7.2	-0.4	2018	2.9	0.3			
1979	7.5	-3.5	2019	2.8	1.1			
1980	8.6	-4.3	2020	2.6	1.4			

#### **Analysis of Results**

The following tables present the theoretical money's worth ratios. The tables facilitate comparison of ratios across different family groups, different years of birth, and different career-average levels of earnings.

Tables 1 through 6 present results for single men, single women, one-earner couples, and two-earner couples under the following three OASDI program scenarios:

- Current Law Scheduled,
- Increased Payroll Tax, and
- Payable Benefits.

For each sex, family grouping, and year-of-birth cohort, the money's worth ratios decrease as earnings increase. This decrease occurs because the benefit formula replaces a higher proportion of career-average earnings for beneficiaries with lower earnings. The advantage for lower earners is partially offset by their lower life expectancy. Women have lower mortality than men, resulting in higher likelihood of surviving to retirement age, longer life after retirement, and therefore higher ratios, even when earnings levels are the same. The one-earner couples have the highest ratios because of the auxiliary spouse, child, and widow(er) benefits payable based on one earnings record.

In tables 1, 2, and 3, where both spouses have the same earnings, the money's worth ratio for the two-earner couples is closer to, and sometimes higher than, the higher ratio for single women because of the inclusion of child benefits not reflected for single cases. In tables 4, 5, and 6, where spouses have different earnings levels, the two-earner ratio is generally closer to the ratio for single women, at the wife's earnings level, because of the inclusion of child and surviving spouse benefits. For the cases presented in this note, the lower earner's (wife's) retired worker benefit is always more than one-half of her husband's, so no aged spouse's benefit is payable.

This note does not include cases where a single individual has children. We believe that the ratio for such cases will fall between those for the single worker and one-earner couple.

Based on the rising tax rates for the OASDI program (combined employer and employee tax rates increased from 2 percent in 1941 to 12.4 percent starting in 1990), and the declining relative value of benefits due to increases in the normal retirement age (NRA), one might expect that money's worth ratios would decline steadily for later years of birth. In fact, all of the combinations of sex, family groupings, and earnings levels show

While the ratios in this note do not reflect any differences in mortality by earnings level, we recognize the tendency for higher earners to have greater life expectancy, which would offset, to some degree, the progressive nature of benefits on a lifetime basis. substantial decreases in the money's worth ratios from the first to the fourth year-of-birth cohorts (1920 to 1943).

Interest rates and their relationship to the growth rates in the average wage level and the level of prices have specific and complicated implications for money's worth ratios. Effective interest rates earned by the trust funds remained below 3 percent from 1940 (when the trust funds began) through 1963. After 1963, they gradually increased to over 11 percent in the mid-1980s, and then gradually decreased and are projected to continue to decrease to about 2.1 percent in 2024. Projected interest rates begin to increase thereafter and ultimately reach about 4.8 percent.

For the *Current Law Scheduled* scenario (tables 1 and 4), from the 1943 to the 1973 birth cohort, the money's worth ratios increase uniformly across all family groupings, except for maximum earners which increase with some fluctuations. For these cohorts, improved mortality and variations in interest rates between the contribution and payout periods offset increases in payroll tax rates and the NRA. Ratios for maximum earners stay relatively constant from the 1943 to the 1949 cohort in part because of the increasing relative level of the taxable maximum through 1982. Ratios for maximum earners then increase from the 1949 to the 1973 cohort, and then decrease for all family groupings, with decreases due to changes in interest rates offsetting increases due to higher life expectancy.

For the *Increased Payroll Tax* scenario (tables 2 and 5) payroll tax rates increase from those scheduled in current law beginning in 2034. Money's worth ratios for the first seven year-of-birth cohorts (the 1920 through 1964 cohorts) are the same as for the Current Law Scheduled scenario for all family groupings and earnings levels, since each of these year-of-birth cohorts reaches age 65 prior to 2034 and is not affected by the tax increase. Money's worth ratios decrease for the 1973 and later cohorts relative to the Current Law Scheduled scenario in all categories. Within the Increase Payroll Tax scenario, after the 1973 birth cohort, money's worth ratios decrease for all family groupings for the same reasons as given for the Current Law Scheduled scenario in the previous paragraph, and because of increasing payroll tax rates.

For the *Payable Benefits* scenario (tables 3 and 6), benefits decrease from those scheduled in current law beginning in 2034. For the 1920 to the 1937 birth cohort, only retired beneficiaries at very advanced ages are affected and there is little significant change from the *Current Law Scheduled* scenario. The effects of Trust Fund reserve depletion, and resulting lower benefits payable after 2033, start to fully appear in the 1943 birth cohort. From the 1943 to the 1964 birth cohort, the money's worth ratios increase for all except maximum earners, with increases due to higher life expectancy

generally exceeding decreases due to reductions in benefits payable at older ages. For maximum earners from the 1943 to the 1964 cohort, the increasing relative levels of the taxable maximum through 1982 combined with decreased benefits largely offset increases due to higher life expectancy, causing money's worth ratios to either decrease or increase only slightly. After the 1973 birth cohort, the cumulative effect of reductions in benefits payable causes the money's worth ratios to decrease for all worker combinations and earnings levels.

#### Conclusion

This note presents theoretical money's worth ratios over time for various illustrative demographic groups and earnings levels. We could have used a variety of other approaches, methods, and assumptions in this type of analysis. However, these hypothetical examples provide useful insight into how individual and cohort money's worth ratios vary across generations, and within generations by sex, earnings level and pattern, and family grouping.

It is important to keep the significance of the money's worth ratio in proper perspective. A higher ratio does not necessarily mean a higher monthly benefit, even for two individuals with the same earnings. As one example, consider a man and a woman with the same earnings. A

woman born in 1975 may expect to live 22.7 years on average after reaching age 65. Her male counterpart born in 1975 may expect to live 20.2 years on average after reaching age 65. Her expected number of years of life after age 65 exceeds his by 12 percent, and, as a result, her money's worth ratio is considerably higher than his. However, the monthly benefit she receives is exactly the same as he receives. Her higher money's worth ratio derives solely from her longer expected lifetime.

Based on the provisions for benefits in the Social Security Act that have evolved since 1935, a primary goal of the OASDI program is to provide monthly benefit levels with a mix of equity (higher benefits for higher earners/contributors) and adequacy (replacement of a larger portion of pre-retirement earnings for lower earners). The program's goal is not to provide similar lifetime benefits or money's worth ratios across earnings levels, family groupings, or generations.

Money's worth ratios for a PAYGO-financed benefit program reflect only theoretical values for contributions on a cohort basis. Payments to beneficiaries each year, in comparison to the total cost of (or resources used by) the program for that year, determine the real value of benefits under a PAYGO social insurance program. On this basis, with current administrative expenses of less than 1 percent of total program cost, the real value of OASDI benefits is extraordinarily high.

Table 1. Money's Worth Ratios for Scaled Workers with Various Earnings Levels

OASDI Program--Current Law Scheduled Scenario

(Percent)

Earnings level	Year of birth	Year attains age 65	Single man	Single woman	One-earner couple	Two-earn coup
	1920	1985	2.49	3.04	5.41	2.9
	1930	1995	1.57	1.84	3.22	1.8
	1937	2002	1.47	1.69	2.94	1.3
	1943	2008	1.39	1.60	2.73	1.
	1949	2014	1.50	1.74	2.88	1.
Very Low	1955	2020	1.71	2.02	3.24	1.
very now	1964	2029	2.05	2.41	3.76	2.
	1973	2038	2.23	2.61	4.03	2.
	1985	2050	2.17	2.49	3.88	2.
	1997	2062	2.02	2.30	3.57	2.
	2004	2069	2.00	2.26	3.49	2.
	1920	1985	1.99	2.43	4.20	2.
	1930	1985	1.15	1.35	4.29 2.37	1.
	1937	2002	1.08	1.23	2.15	
	1943	2002	1.01	1.25	1.99	1.
						1.
T	1949	2014	1.09	1.26	2.10	1.
Low	1955	2020	1.25	1.47	2.37	1.
	1964	2029	1.50	1.76	2.76	1.
	1973	2038	1.63	1.90	2.95	1.
	1985	2050	1.59	1.82	2.84	1.
	1997	2062	1.48	1.68	2.62	1.
	2004	2069	1.46	1.65	2.56	1.0
	1920	1985	1.36	1.66	2.96	1.
	1930	1995	0.85	0.99	1.78	1.
	1937	2002	0.80	0.91	1.62	0.
	1943	2008	0.75	0.86	1.50	0.
	1949	2014	0.81	0.94	1.58	0.
Medium	1955	2020	0.92	1.09	1.77	1.
	1964	2029	1.11	1.30	2.06	1.
	1973	2038	1.21	1.41	2.20	1.
	1985	2050	1.17	1.34	2.12	1.
	1997	2062	1.09	1.24	1.95	1.
	2004	2069	1.08	1.22	1.91	1.
	1920	1985	1.23	1.49	2.65	1.
	1930	1995	0.74	0.86	1.54	0.
	1937	2002	0.67	0.77	1.36	0.
	1943	2008	0.62	0.71	1.24	0.
	1949	2014	0.67	0.78	1.31	0.
High	1955	2020	0.76	0.90	1.47	0.
mgn	1964	2029	0.92	1.08	1.71	1.
	1973	2038	1.00	1.17	1.82	1.
	1985	2050	0.97	1.17	1.76	1.
	1997	2062	0.91	1.03	1.62	1.
	2004	2069	0.90	1.03	1.59	1.
	1020	1005	1.10	1.26	2.42	1
	1920	1985	1.12	1.36	2.42	1.
	1930	1995	0.66	0.77	1.38	0.
	1937	2002	0.60	0.69	1.21	0.
	1943	2008	0.54	0.62	1.06	0
	1949	2014	0.54	0.63	1.06	0
Maximum <sup>1</sup>	1955	2020	0.57	0.67	1.10	0
	1964	2029	0.66	0.77	1.23	0
	1973	2038	0.73	0.86	1.34	0
	1985	2050	0.73	0.84	1.32	0
	1997	2062	0.68	0.77	1.22	0
	2004	2069	0.66	0.75	1.17	0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Other earnings levels shown in this table are more representative of individuals' actual earnings histories (see table A).

Note: Based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2021 Trustees Report.

Actuarial Note Number 2021.7 Office of the Chief Actuary Social Security Administration April 2022

Table 2. Money's Worth Ratios for Scaled Workers with Various Earnings Levels

OASDI Program--Increased Payroll Tax Scenario

(Percent) Earnings Year of Year attains Single Single One-earner Two-earner level birth age 65 man woman couple couple 1920 2.49 2.90 1985 3.04 5.41 1930 1995 1.57 1.84 3.22 1.82 1937 2002 1.47 2.94 1.72 1.69 1943 2008 1.39 1.60 2.73 1.62 1949 2014 1.50 1.74 2.88 1.71 Very Low 1955 2020 1.71 2.02 3.24 1.93 1964 2029 2.05 2.41 3.76 2.30 1973 2.44 2038 2.20 2.56 3.96 1985 2050 1.97 2.26 3.52 2.18 1997 2062 1.69 1.92 2.98 1.86 2004 2069 1.59 1.79 2.77 1.74 1920 1985 1.99 2.43 4.29 2.32 1930 1995 1.15 1.35 2.37 1.35 1937 2002 1.08 1.23 2.15 1.26 1943 2008 1.01 1.16 1.99 1.18 1949 1.25 2014 1.09 1.26 2.10 Low 1955 2020 1.25 1.47 2.37 1.42 2029 1964 1.50 1.76 2.76 1.69 1973 2038 1.61 1.87 2.90 1.79 1985 2050 1.65 2.58 1.60 1.44 1997 2062 1.23 1.40 2.19 1.37 2004 2069 1.16 1.31 2.03 1.28 1920 1985 1.36 2.96 1.60 1.66 1930 0.99 1995 0.85 1.78 1.02 1937 2002 0.80 0.91 1.62 0.96 1943 2008 0.75 0.86 1.50 0.89 1949 2014 0.81 0.94 1.58 0.94 Medium 1955 2020 0.92 1.09 1.77 1.06 1964 2029 1.11 1.30 2.06 1.26 1973 2038 2.16 1.19 1.38 1.34 1985 2050 1.06 1.22 1.92 1.20 1997 2062 0.91 1.03 1.63 1.02 2004 2069 0.86 0.97 1.52 0.96 1920 1985 1.23 1.49 2.65 1.43 1930 1995 0.74 0.86 1.54 0.88 1937 2002 0.67 0.77 1.36 0.81 1943 2008 0.62 1.24 0.74 0.71 1949 2014 0.78 1.31 0.78 0.67 0.76 High 1955 2020 0.90 1.47 0.88 1964 2029 0.92 1.08 1.71 1.05 1973 2038 0.99 1.15 1.79 1.11 1985 2050 0.88 1.01 1.59 0.99 1997 1.35 0.85 2062 0.76 0.86 2004 2069 0.71 0.80 1.26 0.80 1920 1985 1.12 1.36 2.42 1.31 1930 1995 0.66 0.77 1.38 0.78

2002

2008

2014

2020

2029

2038

2050

2062

2069

0.60

0.54

0.54

0.57

0.66

0.72

0.67

0.57

0.53

0.69

0.62

0.63

0.67

0.77

0.84

0.76

0.65

0.60

1937

1943

1949

1955

1964

1973

1985

1997

2004

Note: Based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2021 Trustees Report.

Actuarial Note Number 2021.7 Office of the Chief Actuary Social Security Administration April 2022

1.21

1.06

1.06

1.10

1.23

1.31

1.20

1.03

0.95

0.71

0.63

0.63

0.66

0.76

0.82

0.75

0.65

0.60

Maximum<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Other earnings levels shown in this table are more representative of individuals' actual earnings histories (see table A).

Table 3. Money's Worth Ratios for Scaled Workers with Various Earnings Levels

OASDI Program--Payable Benefits Scenario

(Percent)

Earnings level	Year of birth	Year attains age 65	Single man	Single woman	One-earner couple	Two-earner couple
	1920	1985	2.49	3.04	5.41	2.90
	1930	1995	1.57	1.84	3.22	1.82
	1937	2002	1.47	1.69	2.93	1.72
	1943	2008	1.38	1.58	2.70	1.60
	1949	2014	1.46	1.68	2.78	1.66
Very Low	1955	2020	1.60	1.87	3.00	1.80
•	1964	2029	1.76	2.06	3.19	1.98
	1973	2038	1.76	2.05	3.17	2.00
	1985	2050	1.64	1.88	2.98	1.86
	1997	2062	1.48	1.68	2.66	1.67
	2004	2069	1.45	1.64	2.57	1.62
	1920	1985	1.99	2.43	4.29	2.32
	1930	1995	1.15	1.35	2.37	1.35
	1937	2002	1.07	1.23	2.14	1.26
	1943	2008	1.00	1.15	1.97	1.17
	1949	2014	1.06	1.22	2.03	1.21
Low	1955	2020	1.17	1.36	2.20	1.33
	1964	2029	1.29	1.50	2.34	1.46
	1973	2038	1.29	1.50	2.32	1.47
	1985	2050	1.20	1.37	2.19	1.37
	1997	2062	1.08	1.23	1.95	1.23
	2004	2069	1.06	1.20	1.89	1.20
	1920	1985	1.36	1.66	2.96	1.60
	1930	1995	0.85	0.99	1.78	1.02
	1937	2002	0.80	0.91	1.62	0.96
	1943	2008	0.74	0.85	1.48	0.88
	1949	2014	0.78	0.90	1.52	0.91
Medium	1955	2020	0.86	1.01	1.64	0.99
Mediani	1964	2029	0.95	1.11	1.75	1.09
	1973	2038	0.95	1.11	1.73	1.10
	1985	2050	0.89	1.01	1.63	1.02
	1997	2062	0.80	0.91	1.46	0.92
	2004	2069	0.78	0.89	1.41	0.90
	1920	1985	1.23	1.49	2.65	1.43
	1930	1995	0.74	0.86	1.54	0.88
	1937	2002	0.67	0.77	1.36	0.81
	1943	2002	0.62	0.71	1.23	0.73
	1949	2014	0.65	0.75	1.26	0.76
High	1955	2020	0.72	0.84	1.37	0.83
riigii	1964	2029	0.72	0.92	1.45	0.83
	1973	2029	0.79	0.92	1.44	0.91
	1975	2050	0.79	0.84	1.36	0.92
	1997 2004	2062 2069	0.66 0.65	0.75 0.74	1.21 1.17	0.77 0.74
	1920	1985	1.12	1.36	2.42	1.31
	1930	1995	0.66	0.77	1.38	0.78
	1937	2002	0.60	0.68	1.20	0.71
	1943	2008	0.53	0.61	1.05	0.63
	1949	2014	0.53	0.61	1.02	0.61
Maximum <sup>1</sup>	1955	2020	0.54	0.63	1.02	0.62
	1964	2029	0.57	0.66	1.05	0.65
	1973	2038	0.58	0.68	1.06	0.68
	1985	2050	0.55	0.63	1.02	0.64
	1997	2062	0.50	0.56	0.91	0.58
	2004	2069	0.48	0.54	0.87	0.55

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Other earnings levels shown in this table are more representative of individuals' actual earnings histories (see table A).

Note: Based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2021 Trustees Report.

Actuarial Note Number 2021.7 Office of the Chief Actuary Social Security Administration April 2022

Table 4. Money's Worth Ratios for Scaled Two-Earner Couples with Selected Earnings Levels
OASDI Program--Current Law Scheduled Scenario

			(.	Percent)				
Year of birth	Year attains age 65	H: very low W: very low	H: low W: very low	H: low W: low	H: med W: low	H: med W: med	H: high W: med	H: high W: high
1920	1985	2.90	2.70	2.32	1.98	1.60	1.56	1.43
1930	1995	1.82	1.60	1.35	1.24	1.02	0.98	0.88
1937	2002	1.72	1.50	1.26	1.16	0.96	0.91	0.81
1943	2008	1.62	1.40	1.18	1.08	0.89	0.84	0.74
1949	2014	1.71	1.49	1.25	1.14	0.94	0.89	0.78
1955	2020	1.93	1.67	1.42	1.28	1.06	1.00	0.88
1964	2029	2.30	1.98	1.69	1.51	1.26	1.18	1.05
1973	2038	2.48	2.13	1.82	1.62	1.36	1.26	1.13
1985	2050	2.40	2.06	1.76	1.56	1.32	1.22	1.10
1997	2062	2.23	1.92	1.64	1.45	1.23	1.14	1.02
2004	2069	2.20	1.88	1.62	1.42	1.21	1.12	1.01

Note: Based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2021 Trustees Report.

Table 5. Money's Worth Ratios for Scaled Two-Earner Couples with Selected Earnings Levels
OASDI Program--Increased Payroll Tax Scenario

	(Percent)										
Year of birth	Year attains age 65	H: very low W: very low	H: low W: very low	H: low W: low	H: med W: low	H: med W: med	H: high W: med	H: high W: high			
1920	1985	2.90	2.70	2.32	1.98	1.60	1.56	1.43			
1930	1995	1.82	1.60	1.35	1.24	1.02	0.98	0.88			
1937	2002	1.72	1.50	1.26	1.16	0.96	0.91	0.81			
1943	2008	1.62	1.40	1.18	1.08	0.89	0.84	0.74			
1949	2014	1.71	1.49	1.25	1.14	0.94	0.89	0.78			
1955	2020	1.93	1.67	1.42	1.28	1.06	1.00	0.88			
1964	2029	2.30	1.98	1.69	1.51	1.26	1.18	1.05			
1973	2038	2.44	2.09	1.79	1.59	1.34	1.24	1.11			
1985	2050	2.18	1.87	1.60	1.42	1.20	1.11	0.99			
1997	2062	1.86	1.60	1.37	1.21	1.02	0.95	0.85			
2004	2069	1.74	1.49	1.28	1.13	0.96	0.89	0.80			

Note: Based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2021 Trustees Report.

Table 6. Money's Worth Ratios for Scaled Two-Earner Couples with Selected Earnings Levels OASDI Program--Payable Benefits Scenario

	(Percent)										
Year of birth	Year attains age 65	H: very low W: very low	H: low W: very low	H: low W: low	H: med W: low	H: med W: med	H: high W: med	H: high W: high			
1920	1985	2.90	2.70	2.32	1.98	1.60	1.56	1.43			
1930	1995	1.82	1.60	1.35	1.24	1.02	0.98	0.88			
1937	2002	1.72	1.49	1.26	1.15	0.96	0.90	0.81			
1943	2008	1.60	1.39	1.17	1.06	0.88	0.83	0.73			
1949	2014	1.66	1.44	1.21	1.10	0.91	0.86	0.76			
1955	2020	1.80	1.56	1.33	1.19	0.99	0.93	0.83			
1964	2029	1.98	1.70	1.46	1.29	1.09	1.01	0.91			
1973	2038	2.00	1.73	1.47	1.31	1.10	1.03	0.92			
1985	2050	1.86	1.60	1.37	1.21	1.02	0.95	0.85			
1997	2062	1.67	1.43	1.23	1.08	0.92	0.85	0.77			
2004	2069	1.62	1.39	1.20	1.05	0.90	0.83	0.74			

Note: Based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2021 Trustees Report.

Actuarial Note Number 2021.7 Office of the Chief Actuary Social Security Administration April 2022